

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)  
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)  
Chief, Criminal Division

CAROLYN SILANE (NYBN 4596235)  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-6973  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
E-Mail: carolyn.silane@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 12-0090 RS  
Plaintiff, ) CR 12-0156 RS  
v. )  
PABLO PEREZ-ANGUIANO, ) **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**  
a/k/a Jose Escalera Aguilera ) **ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18**  
Defendant. ) **U.S.C. § 3161**

On April 30, 2013, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the Court set the matter to June 11, 2013. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between April 30, 2013 and June 11, 2013 from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

11

11

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME  
CR 12-0090 RS, CR 12-0156 RS

1 At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement. SO STIPULATED:

2  
3  
4 MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

5  
6 DATED: May 4, 2013

7  
8  
9  
10 /s/  
CAROLYN SILANE  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

11  
12 DATED: May 4, 2013

13  
14  
15 /s/  
CANDIS MITCHELL  
16 Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the April 30, 2013 hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from April 30, 2013 and June 11, 2013 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 5/8/13

  
THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG  
United States District Judge